

Supplementary Committee Agenda



Overview and Scrutiny Committee Thursday, 2nd February, 2006

Place: Civic Offices, High Street, Epping

Time: 7.30 pm

Committee Secretary: Simon Hill, Senior Democratic Services Officer
email: shill@eppingforestdc.gov.uk Tel: 01992 564249

5.a Comissioning Patient led NHS - Presentation (Pages 3 - 14)

The attached presentation was made to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 2 February 2006.

This page is intentionally left blank

Commissioning a Patient-led NHS in Essex

Formal Consultation

14 December 2005 to 22 March 2006

What people want

- **Local services when you need them**
- **Emergency care when you need it**
- **No waiting**
- **The best patient experience**
- **To have a say, to have a choice**
- **More emphasis on prevention**
- **Health and social care working together**

8 national criteria

- **Improve commissioning**
- **Improve the engagement of GPs**
- **Improve co-ordination with social services**
- **Secure high quality safe services**
- **Improve health, reduce inequalities**
- **Improve public involvement**
- **Manage financial balance and risk**
- **At least 15% reduction in management costs**

What is the best PCT structure?

- **Stronger commissioning**
 - Improve commissioning
 - Improve the engagement of GPs
 - Secure high quality safe services
 - Improve public involvement
 - Manage financial balance and risk

What is the best PCT structure?

- **Closer links with local councils and LSPs**
 - Improve co-ordination with social services
 - Improve health, reduce inequalities
- **Management cost savings**
 - At least 15% reduction in management costs
 - Target for Essex £7.5m (£2m from SHA, £5.5m from PCTs)

Option 1 – 2 PCTs (North Essex and South Essex)

- **£3.3 million above current level to strengthen commissioning**
- **Resources for locality Director and team**
- **Resources for locality public health budget**
- **In-house functions or share “back office functions”**
- **Economies of scale and devolved structure**
- **HQ remote from local practices**
- **Deprived areas risk losing out if finances merged**

Option 2 – 3 PCTs (Essex County, Southend and Thurrock)

- Coterminous with social care and education– benefits for joint commissioning
- £2.5 million more available than currently to strengthen commissioning...
- ...but in Southend and Thurrock only £0.7m and £0.6m in total
- Southend and Thurrock likely to need £1m more each:
 - Potentially £2m away from frontline services
 - Other PCTs would need to make good savings shortfall
- Larger PCT would have economies of scale, but over 1.3 million population

Option 3 – 4 PCTs (North, South, Southend and Thurrock)

- Nth Essex has similar pros/cons as option 1
- 3 sth PCTs could consider shared services
- Southend and Thurrock PCTs have benefits of coterminosity, but same problems with resources as option 2
- South Essex PCT does not match local communities or health arrangements

Option 4 – 5 PCTs (Mid, North East, SE, SW, West)

- Builds on existing arrangements
- Potentially £0.9 million above current level – could be further savings from shared services
- Resources for locality Director and team
- Resources for locality public health budget
- Is management cost sufficient to strengthen commissioning?

Streamlining SHAs

- SHAs responsible for fewer PCTs
- More hospitals becoming independent Foundation Trusts
- Closer match with Government Office
- Strategic overview to meet national objectives

Ambulance reconfiguration

- Range of emergency care expanding - *Taking Healthcare to the Patient*
- Larger organisations - more capacity for new services
- Management cost savings – more money for frontline services
- Local operational structures to serve different communities
- Boundaries to match SHAs, GOs

Your feedback

- **What do you think are the pros and cons of each option?**
- **Documents and feedback forms available**
- **Deadline 22 March**